MODEL IDENTIFICATION FOR THE EVALUATION OF CRITICAL BUCKLING LOAD IN REINFORCED CONCRETE RECTANGULAR COLUMNS
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ABSTRACT: The stability analysis of columns is a complex problem that includes not only first order effects but also second order ones. The solution depends on a number of parameters and comes from non-polynomial equations which make the resolution tedious and needs the use of computer programs or abacuses. The objective of this paper is to establish a new model to determine the critical load for reinforced concrete rectangular columns subjected to compression in accordance with the Eurocode 2. The tools presented in this paper will enable engineers to design a broad spectrum of compressed columns or verify their stability without the use of a computer or design charts. It will also help them to design columns in an economic way as it evaluates the critical buckling load by taking into account the influence of each parameter on the stability of the element.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Civil engineers often opt for structures constituted of beams and columns. Therefore, due to the importance of compression in columns for the stability of the structure, the design codes require that second order effects be considered.

When these columns are subjected to axial compression, the buckling phenomenon happens earlier and it is necessary to perform a complete nonlinear analysis which requires the use of numerical methods.

In the previous article [1], we have computed the critical buckling load using the general method [2] and have proposed design charts to verify columns stability. In this article, we will write a model that allows designers to estimate the critical load of reinforced concrete rectangular columns subjected to compression without the use of computers or design charts.

2. PROBLEM PRESENTATION

The buckling phenomenon is characterized by a sudden sideways deflection of axially loaded structural members when they are slender. This phenomenon has been highlighted by Euler who determined the expression of the critical load \( P_c = \frac{\pi^2 E I}{l^2} \), at which an ideal element will buckle.

In reinforced concrete, stability analysis consists of proving that there is a deflection of the element that equilibrates the design solicitations while taking into account second-order effects [3], [4].
The stresses are functions of the strains, thus they depend on the curvature $\frac{1}{r}$ according to the compatibility relations. So, by setting the states of deformation of the cross-section by the couple $(\epsilon_{bc}, \frac{1}{r})$ the internal loads $N_i (\epsilon_{bc}, \frac{1}{r})$ and $M_i (\epsilon_{bc}, \frac{1}{r})$ can be calculated, and the internal eccentricity deduced:

$$e_{\text{int}} = \frac{M_i}{N_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In the $(\frac{1}{r}, \epsilon)$ plane (Fig.1), the geometrical equation (2) is represented by a straight line and the mechanical equation (3) is represented by blue network curves parameterized by $N_i = \text{constant}$.

The critical load $N_{cr}$ corresponds to the curve $N_i$ that is tangent to the straight line:

$$e_{\text{ext}} = e_1 + \frac{1}{r} \times \frac{I_f^2}{\pi^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Fig. 1: Network curves for several values of $N_i$

### 3. GEOMETRIC IMPERFECTION EFFECT

According to Eurocode 2, the perfectly centered loading does not exist [2], there will always be an eccentricity due to geometric defects. For isolated columns, the geometrical imperfections may be taken into account as an additional eccentricity $e_a$ given by:

$$e_a = \frac{a_b a_m l_f}{400}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

with

$$\begin{cases} a_h = \frac{2}{3} \text{ with } \frac{2}{3} \leq a_h \leq 1 \\ a_m = \sqrt{0.5 (1 + \frac{h}{h})} \\ m=1 \text{ for an isolated column} \\ l= \text{column's length} \end{cases}$$

Note: For cross sections loaded by the compression force with symmetrical reinforcements, the Eurocode2 recommends to assume the minimum eccentricity $e_0 = \max\left(\frac{h}{30}, 20\text{mm}\right)$ where $h$ is the depth of the cross-section.

### 4. NUMERICAL BUCKLING ANALYSIS

#### 4.1 The nonlinear buckling analysis method

To assess most precisely the buckling resistance of columns, the general method analysis has been used. It is based on a nonlinear analysis including:
- Geometric nonlinearity (second order effects),
- Nonlinear mechanical behavior of materials.

#### 4.2 Analysis of the middle cross section

According to the position of the neutral axis, there are two cases (Fig.2):
- The cross-section is entirely compressed,
- The cross-section is partially compressed.

Let's consider a linear deformation represented by a couple of parameters $(\epsilon_{\text{sup}}, \epsilon_{\text{inf}})$. For each value of this couple parameters one can evaluate:
- the resisting solicitations $N_i, M_i$
- the corresponding deformation of the section at the middle span.

Fig. 2: Strains and stresses diagrams

The curvature of the middle cross section is $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{2e_m}{h}$.

Therefore:

$$e_{\text{ext}} = \frac{a_b l_f}{400} + \frac{2e_m}{h} \times \frac{I_f^2}{\pi^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The concrete resistant solicitations can be computed by the following formula:

$$N_c = \int dN_c \text{ with } dN_c = b. \sigma. dy$$

$$M_c = \int dM_c \text{ with } dM_c = b. \sigma. y. dy$$

The internal solicitations are, then, given by:

$$N_i = N_c + A_{s1} \sigma_{s1} + A_{s2} \sigma_{s2}$$

$$M_i = M_c + A_{s1} \sigma_{s1} \left( \frac{h}{2} - d \right) - A_{s2} \sigma_{s2} \left( \frac{h}{2} - d \right)$$
with $\sigma_s < 0$ if tension

Hence: $e_{int} = \frac{M_i}{N_i}$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

If the equation $e_{int} = e_{ext}$ has a solution then the column will be stable, else it will buckle. To determine the critical normal load, the initialization of the normal effort should be at a suitable $N_0$ value, then the stability of the column is checked. Next to the value of $N_u$ is incremented until the column is no longer stable. The maximum normal load supported without instability is the sought critical normal load, named $N_{max}$.

In the previous article [1], the critical normal load $N_{max}$ was computed with an application created in the mathematical program Matlab simulink.

5. HYPOTHESIS AND MATERIALS PROPERTIES

5.1 Hypothesis

- The column is isolated and simply supported at both ends.
- The cross-section of the columns is rectangular. 
  $b =$ cross section width, 
  $h =$ cross-section height in the buckling plane (in most cases $h < b$)
- The section contains bars put symmetrically: $A_{s1} = A_{s2} = \frac{A}{2}$
  $A$ is the total area of the steel reinforcements

5.2 Properties of steel bars

The design diagram used is the horizontal top branch one presented in the article 3.2.7 of EC2 [1], [2].

$E_s = 200$GPa : modulus of elasticity

$f_{yk} = 500$MPa: characteristic yield strength

5.3 Concrete stress-strain relationship

To compute a design value of the ultimate load, the stress-strain relation used is shown in the article 5.8.6(3) of the EC2 [1] [2].

5.4 Effect of the load's duration

The creep may be taken into account by multiplying all strain values in the concrete stress-strain diagram with a factor $(1 + \varphi_{ef})$ where $\varphi_{ef}$ is the effective creep ratio given by:

$$\varphi_{ef} = \varphi(\infty, t_0) \frac{M_{0Ed}}{M_{0Ed}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

$\varphi(\infty, t_0)$ is the final value of creep coefficient, $M_{0Ed}$ is the first order bending moment in quasi-permanent load combination (SLS), $M_{0Ed}$ is the first order bending moment in design load combination (ULS).

5.5 Notations

$p = \frac{A_{fyd}}{b.h.f_{cd}}$: mechanical percentage of reinforcement

$v = \frac{N_u}{b.h.f_{cd}}$: relative normal load

$v_{max}$: the critical relative normal load.

$f_{yd}$: the design yield strength of reinforcement

$f_{cd}$: the design value of concrete compressive strength.

$f_{cd} = f_{ck}/\gamma_c$ with $\gamma_c = 1.5$

and $f_{ck} = 25$MPa

6. INFLUENCE ON $v_{max}$ OF DIFFERENT PARAMETERS

6.1 Influence of the slenderness $l_f/h$

The plot of $v_{max}$ versus the slenderness $l_f/h$ for $\varphi_{ef} = 1$ and $2$ and for different values of $p$ shows that the curves are exponential. Fig.3 is an example of these curves for $\varphi_{ef} = 1$. 

$\varphi_{ef} = \varphi(\infty, t_0) \frac{M_{0Ed}}{M_{0Ed}}$
6.2 Influence of the column length

We try, then, to see if there is an influence of the length of the column (with equal slenderness) on the results.

We, therefore, vary the length of the column while keeping the slenderness constant; we obtain hence the results represented in fig.4.

By drawing the line minimizing the deviations, we obtain the most representative line of the experimental point cloud. It has for the equation:

$$\frac{\nu_{\text{max}}(l_f)}{\nu_{\text{max}}(20)} = -2.74 \times 10^{-3} l_f + 1.04$$

6.3 Effect of the mechanical percentage of reinforcements

The plot of $\nu_{\text{max}}$ versus $p$ for $\phi_{ef} = 0; 1$ and 2 and for different values of the slenderness $l_f/h$ shows that the curves $\nu_{\text{max}}$ over $p$ are practically linear. Fig.5 is an example of these curves for $\phi_{ef} = 2$. 

---

**Fig. 3:** $\nu_{\text{max}}$ versus the slenderness $l_f/h$ for $\phi_{ef} = 1$

---

**Fig. 4:** Influence of the length of the column.
6.4 Influence of the concrete compressive strength

We search then the influence of $f_{ck}$ thus we plot on $\nu_{\text{max}}$ versus $f_{ck}$ (Fig.6).

The most representative line of the experimental points cloud has for the equation:

$$\frac{\nu_{\text{max}}(f_{ck})}{\nu_{\text{max}}(25)} = -1.98 \times 10^{-3} f_{ck} + 1.08$$

The influence of the concrete strength on $\nu_{\text{max}}$ is lower when it is less than 80 MPa. Thus we can neglect it.

7. Search for an adequate mathematical model

We propose, then, to look for a mathematical model giving the buckling critical load. So we will first proceed to an identification routine on curves obtained in fig.3.

We choose a theoretical curve that closes to the real curve (fig.7) and then we calculate the correlation coefficient $R^2$.  

![Fig. 5: $\nu_{\text{max}}$ versus $p \phi_{\text{ef}} = 2$](image)

![Fig. 6: Influence of $f_{ck}$](image)
When $\phi_{ef} = 0$ and $p=1.4$ we find that the equation $\nu_{max} = 4.00e^{-3.94\times10^{-2}x/l/h}$ best approximates the experimental curve with a high correlation coefficient $R^2 = 0.99$. When $\phi_{ef} = 0$ and $p = 0$ we find that the equation $\nu_{max} = 1.47e^{-3.94\times10^{-2}x/l/h}$ best approximates the experimental curve with a high correlation coefficient $R^2 = 0.99$.

Furthermore, we have noted (fig.4) that the curves $\nu_{max}$ over $p$ are practically linear. We can then make a linear interpolation with respect to $p$. For $\phi_{ef} = 0$ we obtain:

$$\nu_{max} = (1.806p + 1.468)e^{-3.94\times10^{-2}x/l/h}$$

Then we want to find a valid model for all values of $\phi_{ef}$. Thus we will exploit the following 8 points (Table 1), taken from the previous abacuses.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\phi_{ef}$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$l_f/h$</th>
<th>$\nu_{max}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We deduce that:

- For $p=0$ and $l_f/h = 20$ then $\frac{\nu_{max}(2)}{\nu_{max}(0)} = 0.84$
- For $p=0$ and $l_f/h = 50$ then $\frac{\nu_{max}(2)}{\nu_{max}(0)} = 0.87$
- For $p=1.4$ and $l_f/h = 20$ then $\frac{\nu_{max}(2)}{\nu_{max}(0)} = 0.94$
- For $p=1.4$ and $l_f/h = 50$ then $\frac{\nu_{max}(2)}{\nu_{max}(0)} = 0.94$

By security we take:

$$\nu_{max}(2)\nu_{max}(0) = 0.84 + \frac{p}{14}$$

This gives the following equation by linear interpolation:

$$\nu_{max}(2)\nu_{max}(0) = 0.84 + \frac{p}{14}$$

By linear interpolation in the function of $\phi_{ef}$ we obtain:

$$\frac{\nu_{max}(\phi_{ef})}{\nu_{max}(0)} = 1 - (0.08 - \frac{p}{2B})\phi_{ef} = \gamma_{\phi}$$

The influence of creep on the buckling resistance will, therefore, be quantified by $\gamma_{\phi}$. The model becomes then:

$$\nu_{max} = \gamma_{\phi}(1.806p + 1.468)e^{-0.04l_f/h}$$
Fig. 8: Theoretical curves versus real curves
Finally, the identification shows that the following equation is the best model to calculate the critical load:

\[
\gamma_\varphi = 1 - \left(0.08 - \frac{p}{28}\right) \varphi_{ef}
\]

\[
\nu_{\text{max}} = \gamma_\varphi \nu_1 (1.806 p + 1.468) e^{-0.04 f_h}
\]

\[
\gamma_\varphi = 1 - \left(0.08 - \frac{p}{28}\right) \varphi_{ef}
\]

\[
\gamma_l = 1.04 - 2.74 \times 10^{-3} l_f
\]

8. VALIDATION OF THE MODEL

In order to validate the model, we have plotted (Fig.8) the real curves (in color) superimposed on the curves given by the theoretical model (dashed line). We note that the theoretical and real curves are very close to each other, which validates the previous model.

9. CONCLUSION

A new model has been produced in this article for the determination of buckling critical load of rectangular columns under uniaxial loading. It is congruent to the prescriptions of Eurocode 2 and applies to rectangular columns with symmetrical reinforcements and slenderness up to 50. In other cases, conventional methods must be used.

This model can be used to determine the buckling resistance of these columns and verify their stability without having to use computer programs or abacuses. It can also be used to design economically the column so that it doesn't face instability.
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