SEISMIC EFFECTIVE STRESS ANALYSIS OF QUAY WALL IN LIQUEFiable SOIL: THE CASE HISTORY OF KOBE
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ABSTRACT: During the previous decades, a significant number of failures of caisson quay walls have been observed. In particular, the majority of these failures are strongly connected to the deformational response of the surrounding (i.e. the backfill and the foundation) soil deposits subject to liquefaction. In this paper, the seismic effective stress analysis method is applied in order to investigate this complex phenomenon, through the use of the UBC3D-PLM constitutive model for stress-strain soil behaviour, which is available in the material library of the PLAXIS finite element code. An optimization procedure is presented for calibrating the parameters of the aforementioned constitutive model, which involves a two-step methodology based on matching: (a) the response of a single soil element under undrained monotonic direct simple shear loading reproduced by a recently developed more sophisticated model for sand, and (b) the cyclic resistance ratio curve in accordance with the NCEER/NSF procedure. The capability of the model in describing the response of a gravity-type quay wall undergoing lateral spreading due to soil liquefaction is then validated against a well-documented case history from the 1995 Kobe earthquake. The latter analysis is shown to reproduce satisfactory engineering accuracy in comparison to the observed response, shedding light to the validity of the proposed calibration methodology.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The dynamic response of gravity quay walls is strongly affected by non-linear soil behaviour. Development of excess pore pressures and accumulation of shear and volumetric strains, both at the retained and the foundation soil, produces shear strength degradation which may lead to liquefaction. The above phenomena are further complicated when accounting for soil-structure interaction. Evidently, the deformation modes that synthesize the response of the quay wall at large displacements and near failure conditions cannot be realistically assessed by conventional design procedures. The use of suitable constitutive soil models that balance simplicity and effectiveness in conjunction with powerful numerical techniques is a key-step for a successful prediction [1]–[3]. However, these more sophisticated procedures need to be verified before used in practice, and well-documented case histories can play a vital role on this.

In this paper, a methodology is developed for calibrating the model parameters of the UBC3D-PLM constitutive soil law [4], which is a 3D reformulation of that originally proposed by Puebla et al. [5] and Beaty and Byrne [6], designated as UBCSAND. The calibration procedure involves fitting the prediction of the model to: (i) undrained direct simple shear test results reproduced by a more sophisticated constitutive law by Tasiopoulou and Gerolymos [7], and (ii) the cyclic stress ratio (CRR) required to cause liquefaction (defined, either, from a threshold of excess pore water pressure ratio of $r_a$ = 0.98, or, from a cyclic shear strain amplitude of $\gamma$ = 2.5%) in 15 uniform loading cycles [8], [9]. The case history of the caisson quay wall RC-5 in Rokko Island from the 1995 Kobe earthquake, whose large outward displacement and tilting have been documented and analysed by several researchers in a number of publications [2], [10], is then used as a benchmark for validating the capabilities of the calibrated constitutive soil model. The analysis, which is performed with the finite element code PLAXIS, is shown to reproduce satisfactory engineering accuracy in comparison to the observed response.

2. CONSTITUTIVE SOIL MODELLING

The UBC3-PLM model involves two yield surfaces (a primary and a secondary one) of the Mohr-Coulomb type. The primary surface evolves according to an isotropic hardening law, while a simplified kinematic hardening rule is used for the secondary yield surface. The elastic response is described by the elastic shear and bulk moduli given by:

\[ G^e = K_G^e \cdot \frac{p_a}{p_a} \cdot (p/p_a)^{\nu e} \]  
\[ B^e = K_B^e \cdot \frac{p_a}{p_a} \cdot (p/p_a)^{\nu e} \]  

where $K_G^e$ and $K_B^e$ are the elastic shear and bulk modulus numbers, $p_a$ is the reference stress (usually the atmospheric pressure), $p$ is the mean effective atmospheric pressure, and $\nu e$ is the Poisson’s ratio (usually 0.35).
stress and \( ne, me \) are exponents for stress dependency. The plastic shear modulus is given by:

\[
G^p = G_i^p \cdot p_a \left(1 - \frac{n}{n_f} R_f \right)^2
\]

(3)

in which \( n \) is the current stress ratio, \( n_f \) is the stress ratio at failure, equal to \( \sin(\phi_{ph}) \cdot \phi_p \) being the peak friction angle, and \( R_f \) is a failure ratio that truncates the hyperbolic curve. The plastic flow rule is non-associated and is based on the Drucker-Prager’s law [11] and Rowe’s stress dilatancy hypothesis [12]:

\[
d_{cv}^p = (\sin(\phi_{cv}) - n) \cdot d_{ey}^p
\]

(4)

where \( d_{cv}^p \) and \( d_{ey}^p \) are the plastic volumetric and shear strain increments, respectively, \( \phi_{cv} \) is the phase transformation friction angle, and \( G_i^p \) is a term that varies for primary, secondary and post-dilation loading and has the following form:

\[
G_i^p = \frac{K_G^{p}}{p} \left( \frac{p}{p_a} \right)^{n_p} \cdot f\left(\text{fac}_{\text{hard}}, \text{fac}_{\text{post}}, n_{cv} \right)
\]

(5)

where \( K_G^{p} \) is the plastic shear modulus number, \( n_p \) is a constant exponent, and \( \text{fac}_{\text{hard}} \) is a parameter that controls the evolution (hardening) of the secondary yield surface to cyclic loading, as it affects the number of cycles \( n_{cv} \) for liquefaction occurrence. The smaller the value of \( \text{fac}_{\text{hard}} \), the greater the excess pore water pressure development and the lesser the liquefaction resistance is. On the other hand, \( \text{fac}_{\text{post}} \) governs the stiffness of soil after the onset of cyclic mobility, when the mobilized friction angle reaches the peak friction angle. The post-failure (at the onset of cyclic mobility) stiffness degradation increases with decreasing \( \text{fac}_{\text{post}} \); the smaller the \( \text{fac}_{\text{post}} \), the less stiff the post-failure response is.

3. CALIBRATION METHODOLOGY

The calibration methodology of the UBC3D-PLM constitutive model follows a two-step procedure. At first, the results from a series of single element undrained monotonic direct simple shear tests, predicted by the recently developed Tasiopoulou and Gerolymos model for sand [7], are matched with the help of a MATLAB optimization algorithm. The goal function is multi-objective, as the best fit to the \( \tau-\gamma \) and \( \tau-p' \) curves is concurrently attempted. In this step, all the parameters except from \( R_f, \text{fac}_{\text{hard}} \) and \( \text{fac}_{\text{post}} \) are optimized. The latter are then calibrated by fitting the cyclic resistance ratio curve corresponding to an earthquake magnitude of \( M = 7.5 \) (or 15 uniform loading cycles in terms of an element test), as proposed by Idriss and Boulanger [8], while keeping the rest of parameters constant, according to the formerly mentioned procedure.

The comparison of the predictions of the two aforementioned models, for relative density \( D_R = 25\% \) and initial mean effective pressures \( p_0' = 100 \) kPa and \( p_0 = 500 \) kPa, is shown in Fig.1. The derived equations for the initially calibrated model parameters are summarized as follows:

\[
K_G^c = 1592 \cdot D_R^{0.65}
\]

(6)

based on the empirical formula derived by Seed and Idriss [13],

\[
K_B^c = (2/3) \cdot K_G^c
\]

(7)

\[
ne = me = 0.5
\]

(8)

\[
np = D_R
\]

(9)

where, interestingly, the relative density \( D_R \) was found to be a very good estimator of the hardening exponent \( np \), and

\[
\phi_p - \phi_{cv} = 3.8 \cdot [D_R \cdot (9 - \ln p_0') - 0.9] \geq 0
\]

(10)

It is observed that \( \phi_{cv} \) is also a function of the initial mean effective stress, implying that layering during finite element modelling may not be avoided even for an initially uniform soil. However, it is pointed out that \( \phi_{cv} \) in UBC3D-PLM plays the role of the phase transformation angle \( (\phi_{ph}) \) rather than that of the critical state angle. Therefore, its pressure dependency for a given relative density is not surprising. Nevertheless, a constant value in the range of \( 32\% < \phi_{cv} < 36.5\% \) could also be adopted for the sake of simplicity.

In addition, concerning the failure ratio parameter \( R_f \), the initial generic calibrated expression of the UBCSand model [14] is assumed, as in Eq. (11):

\[
R_f = \frac{I}{(N_1)_{60}^{0.15}}
\]

(11)

where \( (N_1)_{60} \) is the corrected SPT blow-count, which is approximated as a function of the relative density \( D_R \) by using the empirical correlation of Idriss and Boulanger [8]:

\[
(N_1)_{60} \approx 46 \cdot (D_R)^2
\]

(12)
Fig. 1 Comparison between the predictions of: (i) the Tasiopoulou and Gerolymos model for sand [7] (blue solid line) and (ii) the calibrated UBC3D-PLM constitutive model (red dotted line), for single element undrained monotonic direct simple shear tests of sand with $D_r = 25\%$, and for initial mean effective pressures: (a) $p'_0 = 100$ kPa and (b) $p'_0 = 500$ kPa

The remaining parameters (i.e. $K_{Gp}$, $f_{achard}$ and $f_{apost}$) are derived based on the aforementioned two-step procedure, for a given relative density and an initial mean effective stress, after the initial estimation of those according to Eqs. (6) to (12).

The comparison between the cyclic resistance curve [8] and those predicted by the fully calibrated UBC3D-PLM model is shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2 The CRR curve resulting from the calibration methodology. Resistance is underestimated for “very dense” sands, which can be counterbalanced by an increase in the $f_{apost}$ parameter (“$f_{apost}$ effect”)

The corresponding values of $f_{achard}$ for different levels of the initial vertical effective stress as a function of $(N_1)_60$, as well as the predicted versus the empirically proposed $K_s$ effect [8] are provided in Fig. 3. Finally, the prediction of the model in terms of cyclic undrained direct simple shear response is depicted in Fig. 4, for two relative densities and for an initial vertical effective stress of 100 kPa. The results are presented in the form of excess pore water time history, stress-strain loop and effective stress path.

Fig. 3 (a) The corresponding values of $f_{achard}$ for different levels of the initial vertical effective stress as a function of $(N_1)_60$, and (b) the predicted (discrete points) versus the empirically proposed (solid lines) $K_s$ effect [8]
4. THE ROKKO ISLAND QUAY WALL

4.1 Field Observations

The case history corresponds to the typical quay wall section of Rokko Island, in which both the foundation and the backfill soil deposits are liquefiable. A cross-section of the quay wall with its deformation recorded after the earthquake is reproduced from Iai et al. [10] in Fig.5. During the earthquake the top of the wall moved about 4 m towards the sea (exceeding 5 m in a few locations) and experienced a settlement of approximately 1–2 m, tilting around 4° outwardly. Interestingly, there was no evidence of liquefaction occurrence either right behind the wall, at a distance of about 30 m, or near the toe of the wall in the sea [2]. Nevertheless, extensive liquefaction should have taken place farther away in the free field (see for examples [10], [15], [16]).

4.2 Numerical Model and Analysis

The seismic response of a typical section of the Rokko Island RC-5 quay wall is analysed with the use of the finite element code PLAXIS 2D AE. The finite element mesh and the material zones used in the analysis are shown in Fig.6, while the material properties are derived according to the previous calibration methodology (considering $\text{fac}_{\text{post}} = 0.01$ and $\phi_v = 36^\circ$), for a given relative density $D_R = 35\%$ for the foundation and backfill soil deposits, and $D_R = 40\%$ for the rubbles. For simplicity (in order to avoid layering), the initial mean effective stresses are taken equal to $p'_0 = 100$ kPa.

Undrained effective stress analysis in the time domain is performed by taking into account for material (in the soil) and geometric (interface) nonlinearities. Both the quay wall and the soil are modelled with 15-node triangular plane strain elements, elastic for the former and nonlinear for the latter. The contact conditions between the quay wall and the adjacent soil are modelled with special inter-
Fig. 6 Finite element mesh with “free-field” elements at the sides [4]: (1) quay wall, (2) backfill rubble, (3) backfill soil, (4) foundation rubble, (5) foundation soil, and (6) alluvial clay face elements, allowing for slippage and gapping via a Coulomb frictional law. The interface friction angles are assumed equal to 15° and 25° at the back and at the base of the wall, respectively. To avoid spurious oscillations at very small deformations and for high frequency components of motion, Rayleigh damping is also introduced into the model, accounting for equivalent hysteretic damping values between 1.5% and 3% in the range of 0.2-2 Hz. The initial horizontal effective stresses are set equal to 0.5 times the initial vertical effective stresses. The horizontal component (PGA = 0.54g) of the ground motion recorded in the nearby Port Island seismograph array (at the depth of 32 m) is used as the input motion at the base of the model [2]. Finally, “free-field” conditions are used for the outer boundaries in order to absorb wave reflections, as explained in depth in [4].

Fig. 7 Snapshots of: (a) the deformed mesh and (b) the contours of the excess pore water pressure ratio, at the end of shaking

4.3 Results

The results of the effective stress analysis are presented in Figs. 7 and 8 in the form of (i) snapshots of the deformed mesh and the contours of the excess pore water pressure ratio at the end of shaking, and (ii) time histories of the horizontal and vertical displacement and the tilt angle of the upper left corner of the wall.

Not only the observations regarding the residual displacements of the wall are satisfactorily reproduced, but the evidence regarding a zone of negative excess pore water pressure right behind the wall followed by extensive liquefaction at a farther distance, are also strongly supported. The above results lead to the conclusion that the proposed calibration methodology for the UBC3D-PLM constitutive soil model is satisfactory.

Fig. 8 Computed time histories of: (a) the horizontal and vertical displacement and (b) the tilt angle, of the upper left corner of the wall (the negative sign denotes outward displacement and rotation)
5. CONCLUSION

This paper provides a detailed calibration methodology for the UBC3D-PLM constitutive soil model, which is available in the material library of the PLAXIS finite element code. The case history of the caisson quay wall RC-5 in Rokko Island from the 1995 Kobe earthquake, which suffered substantial outward displacement and rotation, has been utilized, by means of an undrained effective stress analysis in the time domain with the use of the aforementioned constitutive soil model, in order to evaluate the effect of the proposed calibration procedure. The predictions were shown to be in satisfactory agreement with the observed response, highlighting the validity of the present procedure for the initial estimation of the UBC3D-PLM model parameters.
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